

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/570,151	03/01/2006	Hiroshi Nakatani	071850	8047	
38834 7590 68/18/20099 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			VAJDA, PETER L		
SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
·····oim··oio	WASHINGTON, DC 20000		1795		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/18/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentmail@whda.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/570,151 NAKATANI, HIROSHI Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit PETER L. VAJDA 1795 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 July 2009 and 22 June 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 3-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

The applicant's reply filed 07/28/2009 has been received and considered. The applicant has amended pending claim 1 and cancelled claim 2 and therefore claims 1 and 3-17 are pending. As detailed below, the applicant's amendment to pending claim 1 represents new matter. As such, all prior rejections are withdrawn and a new rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, is applied below.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/22/2009 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1 and 3-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed,

Art Unit: 1795

had possession of the claimed invention. The applicant has amended pending claim 1 to further define the silica fine particle (A) as being nonconductive. However, the specification nowhere teaches that the silica particles be nonconductive nor are any conductivity or resistance values for the silica fine particles taught. The applicant has submitted a translation of a Japanese document that discloses a resistivity of a silica fine particle and the applicant claims that silica is nonconductive. First, the applicant is reminded that the arguments of counsel cannot take the place of fact. Evidence such as that submitted from the Japanese document must be submitted in a signed declaration under 35 USC 1.132. Furthermore, the submission of a resistivity of a silica material is not sufficient to show that all silica particles are nonconductive. If the applicant submits evidence in a signed declaration disclosing the resistivity of the silica particle used by the applicant, then that resistivity may be recited in the pending claims, but it is not sufficient to show a resistance value of one silica powder and claim that all silica powders are nonconductive or to claim possession of all nonconductive silica powders.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see the pages 6-12, filed 06/22/2009, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 and 3-17 under 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of 35 USC 112, first paragraph. As outlined above, the amendment to claim 1 designating that the silica

Art Unit: 1795

powder be nonconductive represents new matter that is not present in the applicant's specification and is therefore rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, as set forth above. However, as the prior art of record does not teach that the silica powder be nonconductive, the rejections based on said prior art are no longer sustainable. Therefore, the 35 USC 103(a) rejections over Takiguchi *et al.* in view of JP '423, Takiguchi *et al.* in view of JP '423 and further in view of JP '450, Takiguchi *et al.* in view of JP '423 and further in view of Niwa are all hereby withdrawn.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PETER L. VAJDA whose telephone number is (571)272-7150. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/570,151 Page 5

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark F. Huff/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795

/PLV/ 08/07/2009